

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/661,096	09/12/2003	Brian D. Petry	ASTU-006/01US 017622-2017	6582
COOLEY GO	7590 01/28/200 DWARD KRONISH LI	EXAMINER		
ATTN: Patent		WANG, LIANG CHE A		
Suite 1100 777 - 6th Street, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, D		2453		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/28/2000	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/661,096	PETRY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Liangche A. Wang	2453		

	Liangche A. Wang	2453				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 13 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appendors for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
o) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I	n).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	of the date of appeal. Since a			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed).</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause			
<ul> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).			
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all  non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>						
7. \( \subseteq \text{ for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) }\) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-26.		be entered and an e	planation of			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. Me nequest for reconsideration has been considered but applicant arques that Orman fails to teach "transfering standby server will take over the failed server (Col 9 line failed server will now operated at the standby server. When definition of "transfer" are "cause to change ownership." against and "transfer" are "cause to change ownership."	tate information", however, Orman is 52-55, 63-66), so any information refore Orman teaches the limitation	discloses a failover sy which was originally or "transfering state inf	stem that a operated in the ormation". The			

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

/Liangche A. Wang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2453

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090122